

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of LATESHA HALL, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

THOMAS HALL,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

DORENIA LINDSEY,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED

January 30, 2001

No. 229498

Kent Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 88-021706-NA

Before: Collins, P.J., and Doctoroff and White, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court order terminating his parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(g). We affirm.

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory ground for termination was established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental right to the child.

Affirmed.

/s/ Jeffrey G. Collins
/s/ Martin M. Doctoroff
/s/ Helene N. White